

## A Guide to Godly Disputation

by John Newton

Dear Sir,

As you are likely to be engaged in *controversy*, and your love of truth is joined with natural warmth of temper, my friendship makes me solicitous on your behalf. You are of the strongest side; for truth is great, and must prevail; so that a person of abilities inferior to yours might take the field with a confidence of victory. I am not therefore anxious for the outcome of the battle; but I would have you more than a conqueror, and to triumph, not only over your *adversary*, but also over *yourself*. If you cannot be *vanquished*, you may be *wounded*. To preserve you from such wounds as might give you cause of weeping over your conquests, I would present you with some considerations, which, if duly attended to, will do you the service of a great coat of armor; such armor, that you need not complain, as David did of Saul's, that it will be more cumbersome than useful; for you will easily perceive that it is taken from that great armory provided for the Christian soldier—the Word of God. I take it for granted that you will not expect any apology for my freedom, and therefore I shall not offer one. For methods sake, I may reduce my advice to three heads, respecting your opponent, the public, and yourself.

1. As to your **opponent**, I wish that before you set pen to paper against him, and during the whole time you are preparing your answer, you may commend him by *earnest prayer* to the Lord's teaching and blessing. This practice will have a direct tendency to *conciliate your heart to love and pity him*; and such a disposition will have a good influence upon every page you write.

If you account him as a BELIEVER, though greatly *mistaken* in the subject of debate between you, the words of David to Joab concerning Absalom, are very applicable: "Deal gently with him for my sake." The *Lord* loves him and bears with him; therefore *you* must not despise him, or treat him harshly! The Lord bears with you likewise, and expects that you should show *tenderness* to others—from a sense of the much forgiveness you need yourself. In a little while you will meet in heaven—he will then be dearer to you than the nearest friend you have upon earth is to you now! Anticipate that period in your thoughts, and though you may find it necessary to oppose his *errors*, view him personally as a kindred soul, with whom you are to be happy in Christ forever.

But if you look upon him as an UNCONVERTED person, in a state of enmity against God and his grace (a supposition which, without good evidence, you should be very unwilling to admit), he is a more proper object of your *compassion* than of your *anger*! Alas! "He knows not what he does!" But you know *who* has made you to differ from him. If God, in his sovereign pleasure, had so appointed, *you* might have been as he is now; and he, instead of you, might have been set for the defense of the gospel! You were *both* equally blind by nature. If you attend to this, you will not reproach or hate him, because the Lord has been pleased to open *your* eyes—and not *his*!

Of all people who engage in controversy, we, who are called *Calvinists*, are most expressly bound by our own principles, to the exercise of *gentleness* and compassion. If, indeed, those who differ from us have a power of changing themselves, if they can open their own eyes, and soften their own hearts—then we might with less inconsistency be *offended* at their obstinacy! But if we believe the very contrary to this, our part is not to *argue*, but in meekness to "gently teach those who oppose the truth—if perhaps *God* will grant them repentance leading them to a knowledge of the truth."

If you write with a desire of being an instrument of correcting mistakes, you will of course be cautious of laying *stumbling blocks* in the way of the blind, or of using any expressions which may exasperate their passions, or confirm them in their false principles, (humanly speaking).

2. By *PRINTING* your article, you will appeal to the **PUBLIC**—where your readers may be ranged under three divisions:

First, such as differ from you in principle. Concerning these I may refer you to what I have already said. Though you have your eye upon one person chiefly—there are *many* like-minded with him; and the same reasoning will hold, whether as to one or to a million.

There will be likewise many who pay too little regard to true religion, who have no settled system of their own, and yet are biased in favor of those sentiments which are at least repugnant to the good opinion they naturally have of themselves. These are very incompetent judges of doctrine; but they can form a tolerable judgment of a *writer's spirit*. They know that meekness, humility and love are the characteristics of a Christian temper. And though they treat the *doctrines of grace* as mere notions and speculations, which, supposing they adopted them, would have no beneficial influence upon their conduct; yet from us, who profess these principles, they always expect such attitudes and dispositions as correspond with the precepts of the gospel. They are quick-sighted to discern when we deviate from such a spirit, and avail themselves of it to justify their contempt of our arguments.

The Scriptural maxim that "man's anger does not bring about the righteous life that God desires," is verified by daily observation. If our zeal is embittered by expressions of anger, invective (criticism), or scorn—we may think we are doing

*service* of the cause of truth, when in reality we shall only bring it into *discredit!* The weapons of our warfare, and which alone are powerful to break down the strongholds of error, are not carnal, but spiritual. They are arguments fairly drawn from Scripture and experience, and enforced by such a mild address, as may persuade our readers, that, whether we can convince them or not—we wish well to their souls, and contend only for the truth's sake. If we can satisfy them that we act upon these motives, our point is half gained; they will be more disposed to consider calmly what we offer; and if they should still dissent from our opinions, they will be constrained to approve *our intentions*.

You will have a third class of readers, who being of your own sentiments, will readily approve of what you advance, and may be further established and confirmed in their views of the Scripture doctrines, by a clear and masterly elucidation (explanation) of your subject. You may be instrumental to their edification, if the law of *kindness* as well as of *truth* regulates your pen; otherwise you may do them harm.

There is a principle of *SELF*, which disposes us to *despise* those who differ from us; and we are often under its influence, when we think we are only showing a fitting zeal in the cause of God.

I readily believe that the leading points of *Arminianism* spring from and are nourished by the *pride of the human heart!* But I would be glad if the reverse were always true; and that to embrace what are called the *Calvinistic doctrines* was an infallible token of a *humble mind!* I think I have known some *Arminians*, that is, people who for lack of a clearer light, have been afraid of receiving the *doctrines of free grace*, who yet have given evidence that their *hearts* were in a degree humbled before the Lord. And I am afraid there are *Calvinists*, who, while they account it a proof of their humility, that they are willing *in words* to debase the creature and to give all the glory of salvation to the Lord—yet are of a prideful, harsh and bitter spirit. Whatever it is that makes us trust in ourselves, that we are comparatively wise or good, so as to treat those with *contempt* who do not subscribe to our doctrines, or follow our party—is a proof and fruit of a *self-righteous spirit!*

Self-righteousness can feed upon *doctrines*—as well as upon *works!* A man may have the *heart* of a Pharisee, while his *head* is stored with orthodox notions of the unworthiness of the creature, and the riches of free grace!

Yes, I would add—the *best* of men are not wholly free from this leaven; and therefore are too apt to be pleased with such caricatures as hold up our adversaries to ridicule—and by consequence flatter our own superior judgments. Controversies, for the most part, are so managed as to *indulge*—rather than to *repress* this sinful disposition; and therefore, generally speaking, they are productive of little good. They *provoke* those whom they should convince—and *puff up* those whom they should edify!

I hope your article will savor of a spirit of *true humility*, and be a means of promoting it in others.

3. This leads me, in the last place, to consider **your own concern** in your present undertaking. It seems a laudable service to *defend the faith once delivered to the saints*; we are commanded to *contend earnestly* for it, and to convince gainsayers. If ever such defenses were seasonable and expedient, they appear to be so in our own day, when *errors* abound on all sides—and every *truth* of the gospel is either directly denied or grossly misrepresented.

And yet we find but very few *writers of controversy* who have not been manifestly hurt by it. Either they grow in a sense of their own importance; or imbibe an angry, contentious spirit; or they insensibly withdraw their attention from those spiritual truths which are the food and immediate support of the life of faith—and spend their time and strength upon matters that are at most but of a secondary value! This shows, that if the service is *honorable*, it is also *dangerous*. What will it profit a man if he gains his cause and silences his adversary—if at the same time he loses that humble, tender frame of spirit in which the Lord delights, and to which the promise of his presence is made?

Your aim, I doubt not, is good, but you have need to watch and pray—for you will find Satan at your right hand to entice you. He will try to pollute your piety; and though you set out in defense of the cause of God, if you are not continually looking to the Lord to keep you—it may become *your own* cause, and awaken in you those *tempers* which are inconsistent with true peace of mind, and will surely obstruct your communion with God! Be upon your guard against admitting anything *personal* into the debate. If you think you have been ill treated—this will give you an opportunity of showing that you are a disciple of Jesus, who "when reviled—He did not revile in return; when suffering—He did not threaten, but committed Himself to the One who judges justly." This is *our pattern*, thus we are to speak and write for God, and "not paying back evil for evil or insult for insult—but, on the contrary, giving a blessing, since you were called for this."

The wisdom that is from above, is not only *pure*, but also *peaceable* and *gentle*; and the lack of these qualifications, like *the dead fly in the jar of ointment*, will spoil the fragrance and efficacy of our labors. If we act in a wrong spirit—we shall bring little glory to God; do little good to our fellow creatures; and procure neither honor nor comfort to ourselves! If you can be content with *showing your wit*, and gaining the *laugh* on your side—you have an easy task!

But I hope you have a far nobler aim; and that, sensible of the solemn importance of gospel truths, and the compassion due to the souls of men, you would rather be a means of *removing prejudices* in a single instance, than obtain the *empty applause of thousands!* Go forth, therefore, in the name and strength of the Lord Almighty, *speaking the truth in love*; and may he give you a witness in many hearts that you are taught of God, and favored with the unction of his Holy Spirit!